Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly © Springer-Verlag 1999 Printed in Austria

# Preparation and Structure of 1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatrane

# Reint Eujen\*, Achim Roth, and David J. Brauer

Fachbereich 9 – Anorganische Chemie, Bergische Universität – GH Wuppertal, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

**Summary.** 1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatrane, CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>N, was prepared from CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and triethanolamine and characterized by NMR, vibrational, and mass spectroscopy. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2<sub>1</sub>/n with a = 777.2(1), b = 1236.9(2), c = 1002.8(1) pm,  $\beta = 91.33(1)^{\circ}$ , Z = 4. The equatorial Si–O bond lengths of the almost perfect trigonal bipyramid average 165.3(2) pm, the axial Si–C bond length is 94.6(1) pm. The transannular Si–N contact, 202.4(1) pm, is considerably shorter than in other organylsilatranes.

Keywords. Silicon; Silatrane; Trifluoromethyl compounds.

### Synthese und Struktur von 1-(Trifluormethyl)silatran

**Zusammenfassung.** 1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatran, CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>N, wurde aus CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> und Triethanolamin gewonnen und durch NMR-, Schwingungs- und Massenspektroskopie charakterisiert. Die Verbindung kristallisiert in der monoklinen Raumgruppe P2<sub>1</sub>/n mit a=777.2(1), b=1236.9(2), c=1002.8(1) pm,  $\beta=91.33(1)^{\circ}$ , Z=4. Die equatorialen Si–O-Abstände der nahezu perfekten trigonalen Bipyramide betragen im Schnitt 165.3(2) pm, der axiale Si–C-Abstand 194.6(1) pm. Der transanulare Si–N-Kontakt, 202.4(1) pm, ist beträchtlich kürzer als in anderen Organylsilatranen.

# Introduction

Silatranes and related compounds have attracted great attention over the last decades not only because of their unique structure with pentacoordinated silicon and a transannular  $N \rightarrow Si$  bond but also due to their biological activity. Several review articles covering both structural and biological aspects are available [1–7]. The nature of the Si–N interaction has been the subject of recent theoretical studies [8–11] which agree on the statement that only a very small amount of energy is required to shorten or lengthen the Si–N distance. Thus, the Si–N distances of 1-methylsilatrane and 1-fluorosilatrane have been found to be *ca*. 28 pm shorter in the solid state [12, 13] than in the gas phase [14, 15], and this shortening has been attributed to packing forces [10]. On the other hand, the Si–N bond distance is also

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

sensitive to the *Lewis* acidity of the silicon atom and thus reflects the electronic effect of a substituent bonded to silicon. For example, in the solid state the shortest Si–N distance has been found in the 1-(dimethyloxonio)silatranium cation with 196.5 pm, whereas those in the isothiocyanato-[16], fluoro-[13] or chlorosilatrane [17], which slightly exceed 200 pm, are significantly shorter than in organylsila-tranes. It is expected that substitution of silicon with the electronegative CF<sub>3</sub> group will result in a similarly short Si–N contact. Earlier attempts to produce the CF<sub>3</sub> substituted silatrane have failed [18]. In the following we report on the synthesis, spectroscopic properties, and structure of 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane.

# **Results and Discussion**

1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatrane is readily accessible from  $CF_3Si(OCH_3)_3$  and triethanolamine as a white crystalline substance which is stable in air and insoluble in non-polar solvents, but readily dissolves in polar solvents like dimethylformamide, dimethylsulfoxide, or N-methylpyrrolidone.

$$CF_{3}Si(OCH_{3})_{3} + N(CH_{2}CH_{2}OH)_{3} \xrightarrow{THF/RT} CF_{3}Si(OCH_{2}CH_{2})_{3}N$$
(1)

Combined calorimetric and thermogravimetric analyses show that the compound decomposes in air at 201°C without melting and without any noticeable thermal effect, but with loss of one third of its weight (33%). Strongly exothermic degradation follows at 285°C with loss of another 18% of weight.

## Spectra

The silatrane was characterized by NMR spectroscopy using *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub> as solvent (<sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$ (OCH<sub>2</sub>) 3.81 ppm,  $\delta$ (NCH<sub>2</sub>) 3.09 ppm, <sup>3</sup>*J*(HH) 6.0 Hz; <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$ (OCH<sub>2</sub>) 56.6 ppm,  $\delta$ (NCH<sub>2</sub>) 50.5 ppm,  $\delta$ (CF<sub>3</sub>) 131.2 ppm, <sup>1</sup>*J*(CF) 325.8 Hz; <sup>19</sup>F:  $\delta$ (CF<sub>3</sub>) -65.1 ppm; <sup>29</sup>Si:  $\delta$  -95.4 ppm, <sup>2</sup>*J*(SiF) 40.8 Hz). The increase of <sup>1</sup>*J*(CF) with simultaneous decrease of <sup>2</sup>*J*(SiF) with respect to the corresponding values of CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (315.5 and 53.6 Hz, respectively) follows the pattern observed upon increase of the coordination number for other CF<sub>3</sub> substituted silicon compounds [19].

The mass spectrum shows the characteristics of two different fragmentation pathways – both loss of the exocyclic substituent and skeleton fragmentation. Besides the molecular ion (m/z = 243, 9%), loss of CF<sub>2</sub> (m/z = 193, 68%) and of CF<sub>3</sub> to the silatranyl ion (m/z = 174, 100%) dominates – the latter constituting the base peak of most organyl silatranes [20]. In addition, an intense peak is observed at m/z = 150 (85%) which is indeed the base fragment ion of 1-fluorosilatrane, [C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub>Si]<sup>+</sup> [13].

The infrared and *Raman* spectra listed in Table 1 show the typical pattern of most silatranes. For the 15 non-hydrogen skeleton atoms  $10a_1$ ,  $3a_2$ , and 12e modes are expected, both  $a_1$  and e being infrared and *Raman* active. The assignment of the  $a_1$  modes is based on a normal coordinate analysis of silatrane [21] and on *Raman* polarization data obtained for molten methylsilatrane [22] which are included in Table 1 for comparison. There have been lots of discussion and attempts to assign

#### 1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatrane

Raman $R = CF_3$	IR	Raman $R = H/CH_3$ [22]	Assignment
203 m		184 m/182 m	
233 m-s		(-/242 w)	$e(\rho \text{ CF}_3)$
297 m-s		(-/288 m)	$a_1(\nu \text{ Si-CF}_3)$
362 m		348 w/354 m,p	$a_1^{a}$
	442 s	–/455 w	$e^{\mathrm{a}}$
497 m	492 m	490 w/471 m,p	$a_1^{a}$
526 m			$e(\delta_{\rm as}  {\rm CF}_3)$
596 s	596 s	593 s/580 vs,p	$a_1^a$
		–/619 vs,p	$a_1 (\nu \text{ Si-CH}_3)$
625 w	625 w		
648 s	648/652 s	630 m/696 m	$a_1(\nu_s \text{ SiO}_3)$
716 m	716 m	-/(718 w)	$a_1(\delta_{\rm s}  {\rm CF}_3)$
767 w	767 w		$\rho \operatorname{CH}_2$
813 w	805/811 vs	760 m/769 m	$e (\nu_{as} \text{ SiO}_3)$
877 w	871/877 w	864 w/873 w	$e^{\mathrm{a}}$
916 m	913 m	911 s/908 m,p	$a_1^{a}$
944 m	938/946 m	942 s/944 m,p	$a_1^{a}$
1028 m	1029 vs		$e(\nu_{\rm as} \rm CF_3)$
1043 m	1048 m	1043 w/1050 m	
1079 m	1086 vs		$a_1(\nu_{\rm s}  {\rm CF}_3)$
1110 m	1115/1118 s	1104 w/1113 m	$a_1^{a}$
1170 m	1167 w	1165 w/1171 m	$e^{\mathrm{a}}$
1228 w	1225 m		
1248 m	1245 w	1240 m/1237 w	CH.
1274 s	1277 m	1267 m/1278 s	$\int C \Pi_2$
1353 w		-/1350 w	twisting and
1390 w		-/1381 w	wagging
1460 m-s	1455 m	1455 m/1452 s,p	$a_1(\delta_s \text{ CH}_2)$
1490 s	1489 m	1486 m/1487 s,p	
2898 s	2891 s	2876 s/2875	$\nu_{\rm s}  {\rm CH}_2$
2904 s	2897 s		
2963 s	2958 m	2928 s/2948	$\nu_{\rm as} {\rm CH}_2$
3002 s	2998 m	2967 m/2975	$\nu_{\rm as} {\rm CH}_2$

**Table 1.** Vibrational spectra of polycrystalline 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane ( $R = CF_3$ ) and related silatranes (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

<sup>a</sup> Skeleton mode

the Si–N stretching mode although it is evident from the kinetics of the  $a_1$  cage modes that there is no unique Si–N stretch and that an assignment of frequencies to individual internal coordinates is unreasonable. Additionally, the flatness of the calculated potential curves indicates that the contribution of the Si–N stretching force constant to the total potential energy distribution of the  $a_1$  cage modes will be low. In another approximation, the Si–N stretch may be described as a combination of the symmetric SiO<sub>3</sub> and NC<sub>3</sub> deformations. In the CF<sub>3</sub> derivative, these low-

energy modes will be further coupled to the Si–CF<sub>3</sub> stretch which appears at  $386 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in CF<sub>3</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [23] or  $402 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in CF<sub>3</sub>SiH<sub>3</sub> [24]. The longer Si–C bond in the silatrane implies that the Si–CF<sub>3</sub> stretch should occur at even lower wavenumbers, and an assignment to the *Raman* band at 297 cm<sup>-1</sup> seems reasonable. Similarly, the  $\nu_s$ (CF<sub>3</sub>) and  $\delta_s$ (CF<sub>3</sub>) modes are considerably red-shifted with respect to tetracoordinated CF<sub>3</sub>Si compounds. The general increase of almost all other frequencies and especially of those between 350 and 700 cm<sup>-1</sup> is in accordance with a more rigid skeleton and stronger Si–N interaction.

## Crystal structure

No crystallographic symmetry is imposed on the molecule; the structure closely complies with  $C_3$  symmetry with the CF<sub>3</sub> group being rotated about the Si–C bond by 9.4(2)° from an exactly staggered conformation with respect to the SiO<sub>3</sub> entity. The structure is shown in Fig. 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2. As found in numerous silatranes [25], the three heterocyclic five-membered rings in this compound adopt an envelope conformation with the C(2), C(4), or C(6) atoms deviating on the average by 51(1) pm from the corresponding plane defined by the other four ring atoms.

A comparison with the structure of the methyl analog [12] shows that fluorination of the methyl group lengthens the Si–C bond by 7.6(6) pm, at the same time leading to a slight but significant shortening (1.3(3) pm) of the average Si–O bond length and to a large contraction (15.1(4) pm) of the transannular Si–N contact. The SiO<sub>3</sub> pyramid is flatter, the average values of both the O–Si–C and O–Si–N angles being closer  $(4.4(6))^{\circ}$  to the perfectly flattened 90° value than are those of the methyl compound.

Whereas the  $Si-CF_3$  bond is significantly longer than the  $Si-CH_3$  bond in the methyl analog, it is not much longer than those found in tetravalent



Fig. 1. Perspectivic drawing of 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms

Si-O(1)	165.17(8)	$C(1)-F_{av}$	135.9(7)
Si-O(2)	165.29(8)	O–C <sub>av</sub>	142.7(2)
Si-O(3)	165.42(8)	N–C <sub>av</sub>	148.1(3)
Si-C(1)	194.61(10)	C–C <sub>av</sub>	151.4(4)
Si–N	202.42(9)		
C(1)–Si–N	179.54(4)	O–Si–N <sub>av</sub>	87.1(2)
O(1)-Si-O(2)	119.96(5)	Si–O–C <sub>av</sub>	119.4(2)
O(1)-Si-O(3)	120.44(5)	C-N-C <sub>av</sub>	113.5(5)
O(2)-Si-O(3)	118.85(5)	Si-N-Cav	105.1(1)
C(1)-Si-O(1)	92.49(4)	F-C-Fav	104.3(3)
C(1)-Si-O(2)	93.16(4)	Si-C-Fav	114.3(9)
C(1)-Si-O(3)	92.94(4)	N-C-C <sub>av</sub>	105.9(2)
		O–C–C <sub>av</sub>	108.1(3)

Table 2. Selected or average bond lengths (pm) and angles (°) for 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane

trifluoromethylsilanes [24, 26–28]. The short transannular Si–N distance, 202.4 pm, is readily associated with the high *Lewis* acidity of the silicon atom induced by the high electronegativity of the CF<sub>3</sub> moiety. The similarity to the values reported for the chloro-and fluorosilatrane (202.3 and 204.2 pm, respectively) clearly demonstrates the pseudohalide character of the CF<sub>3</sub> group despite severe differences in the nature of the Si–CF<sub>3</sub> and Si–X bonding.

#### **Experimental**

Chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. (Trifluoromethyl)trimethoxysilane was prepared according to Ref. [29]. *Raman* spectra were obtained on a Cary 82 spectrometer with Kr<sup>+</sup> excitation at 647.1 nm. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Bruker IFS 25 spectrometer as KBr pellets. Combined calorimetric and thermogravimetric analyses (DSC/TGA) were made with a simultaneous DSC/TG instrument, Netzsch STA 409. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AC 250 instrument (<sup>1</sup>H, 250.13 MHz; <sup>19</sup>F, 235.36 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C, 62.90 MHz; <sup>29</sup>Si, 49.69 MHz) and referenced to internal *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub> at 2.58 ppm (<sup>1</sup>H) and 39.50 ppm (<sup>13</sup>C), external *TMS* (<sup>29</sup>Si) and CFCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>19</sup>F), corrections being made for the shift of the lock substance.

#### 1-(Trifluoromethyl)silatrane

To 1.90 g (10 mmol) of CF<sub>3</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in 8 ml of *THF*, 1.49 g of N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>3</sub> (10 mmol) in 3 ml of *THF* were added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature. The white precipitate was filtered off and recrystallized from methanol yielding 1.08 g (44%) of 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane.

### X-ray structural investigation

Crystals of (trifluoromethyl)silatrane were grown from a solution in methanol, and a specimen with the dimensions  $0.23 \times 0.32 \times 0.50$  mm was glued to a glass fiber. X-ray measurements were at 22°C

	x	у	Z	$U(eq)^{a}$
Si	0.05804(3)	0.39859(2)	0.25484(2)	240(1)
F(1)	-0.09707(10)	0.19504(6)	0.23071(8)	450(2)
F(2)	-0.25944(9)	0.32395(6)	0.15832(8)	453(2)
F(3)	-0.22548(10)	0.29782(7)	0.36871(7)	479(2)
O(1)	-0.05849(9)	0.49992(6)	0.31069(8)	352(2)
O(2)	0.17164(10)	0.32319(6)	0.36066(8)	347(2)
O(3)	0.08771(10)	0.38377(7)	0.09304(7)	355(2)
N	0.25984(11)	0.50208(7)	0.25561(8)	279(2)
C(1)	-0.13722(12)	0.30007(8)	0.25372(10)	301(2)
C(2)	0.20777(15)	0.59314(9)	0.34182(13)	370(2)
C(3)	0.0154(2)	0.60531(9)	0.32015(14)	398(2)
C(4)	0.40655(13)	0.43935(10)	0.31259(12)	372(2)
C(5)	0.33100(15)	0.36335(11)	0.41387(13)	394(2)
C(6)	0.2810(2)	0.53248(10)	0.11428(11)	372(2)
C(7)	0.2339(2)	0.43257(11)	0.03384(11)	388(2)

**Table 3.** Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (pm<sup>2</sup>) for 1-(trifluoromethyl)silatrane

<sup>a</sup> U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized  $U_{ii}$  tensor

with a Siemens P3 diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator and employing MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2<sub>1</sub>/n with a = 777.2(1), b = 1236.9(2), c = 1002.8(1) pm,  $\beta = 91.33(1)^{\circ}$ , Z = 4, and  $D_{calc} = 1.677 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ . The hemisphere of data with  $l \ge 0$  and  $2.6^{\circ} \le \theta \le 35.1^{\circ}$  was collected by the  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan technique. The intensities were derived by profile analysis, corrected for the small fluctuations ( $\pm 1\%$ ) of the three periodically monitored standards and by integration for absorption (transmission: 0.9221–0.9457), and converted into structure factor amplitudes. Of the 8861 intensities measured, 4274 are unique; 3204 of them have  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . The structure was solved by direct methods and refined conventionally with anisotropic and isotropic temperature factors for the non-hydrogen and hydrogen atoms, respectively. The refinement on  $F^2$  of the 185 parameters, which included an extinction correction, converged with a conventional residual  $R_1 = 0.034$  for the observed reflections and a weighted residual ( $F^2$ )  $wR_2 = 0.095$  for all reflections. The final difference density map contains features between 376 and  $-236 \, \text{e} \cdot \text{nm}^{-3}$  and confirms the structure. Coordinates of the non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table 3 [30]. Direct methods, least-squares refinement, and the ORTEP drawing were made with the SHELXTL program package (Version 5.03).

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (Schwerpunktprogramm Siliciumchemie) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- [1] Voronkov MG (1966) Pure Appl Chem 13: 35
- [2] Voronkov MG (1979) Top Curr Chem 84: 77
- [3] Tandura SN, Voronkov MG, Alekseev NV (1986) Top Curr Chem 131: 99

- [4] Lukevics E, Pudova O, Sturkovich R (1989) Molecular Structure of Organosilicon Compounds. Ellis Horwood, Chichester
- [5] Chuit C, Corriu RJP, Reye C, Young JC (1993) Chem Rev 93: 1371
- [6] Greenberg A, Wu G (1990) Struct Chem 1: 79
- [7] Hencsei P (1991) Struct Chem 2: 21
- [8] Schmidt MW, Windus TL, Gordon MS (1995) J Amer Chem Soc 117: 7480
- [9] Dahl T, Skancke PN (1996) Int J Quant Chem 60: 567
- [10] Csonka GI, Hencsei P (1996) J Comput Chem 17: 767
- [11] Csonka GI, Hencsei P (1996) J Mol Struct 362: 199
- [12] Párkányi L, Bihátsi L, Hencsei P (1978) Cryst Struct Commun 7: 435
- [13] Párkányi L, Hencsei P, Bihátsi L, Müller T (1984) J Organomet Chem 269: 1
- [14] Shen Q, Hiderbrandt RL (1980) J Mol Struct 64: 257
- [15] Forgacs G, Kolonits M, Hargittai I (1990) Struct Chem 1: 245
- [16] Narula SP, Shankar R, Kumar M, Chadha RK, Janaik C (1997) Inorg Chem 36: 1268
- [17] Kemme AA, Bleidelis YaYa, Pestunovich VY, Baryshok VP, Voronkov MG (1978) Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR 243: 688
- [18] Voronkov MG, Baryshok VP, Petukhov LP, Rakhlin VI, Mirskov RG, Pestunovich VA (1988) J Organomet Chem 358: 39
- [19] Eujen R, Roth A, (to be published)
- [20] Bochkarev VN, Chernyshev AE, Vitkovskii VYu, Voronkov MG (1985) J Gen Chem USSR 55: 1209
- [21] Imbenotte M, Palavit G, Legrand P, Huvenne JP, Fleury G (1983) J Mol Spectrosc 102: 40
- [22] Imbenotte M, Palavit G, Legrand P (1983) J Raman Spectrosc 14: 135
- [23] Eujen R (1987) Spectrochim Acta 43A: 1165
- [24] Beckers H, Bürger H, Eujen R, Rempfer B, Oberhammer H (1986) J Mol Struct 140: 281
- [25] Voronkov MG, Dyakov VM, Kirpichenko SV (1982) J Organometal Chem 233: 1
- [26] Beckers H, Brauer DJ, Bürger H, Wilke CJ (1988) J Organometal Chem 356: 31
- [27] Rempfer B, Pfafferott G, Oberhammer H, Beckers H, Bürger H, Eujen R, Boggs JE (1986) Rev Chim Miner 23: 551
- [28] Durig JR, Attia G, Groner P, Beckers H, Bürger H (1998) J Chem Phys 88: 545
- [29] Beckers H, Bürger H, Bursch P, Ruppert I (1986) J Organomet Chem 316: 41
- [30] Further crystallographic details may be obtained from Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany, by quoting the deposit number CSD-410073, the authors and the literature reference

Received June 29, 1998. Accepted (revised) August 11, 1998